

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | F                     | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/065,998                            | 10/065,998 12/09/2002 |            | David S. Bettinger      | 6674                |                  |  |
| 26878                                 | 7590                  | 05/06/2004 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| DAVID S.                              |                       | GER        | MEREK, J                | MEREK, JOSEPH C     |                  |  |
| 8030 COVENTRY<br>GROSSE ILE, MI 48138 |                       |            |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                       |                       |            |                         | 3727                | 3727             |  |
|                                       |                       |            | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.       | Applicant(s)                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Office Action Comments  | 10/065,998            | BETTINGER, DAVID S.              |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner              | Art Unit                         |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Joseph C. Merek       | 3727                             |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u>   | <u>ecember 2002</u> . |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗌  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | ion Papers  |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.    |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |                       |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infori   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  |                       | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marsh (US 3,662,780). Regarding claim 1, see Figs. 1-8 where the structure is shown. The bosses are 12 and 14 and the strut is 16 and the pipes are connected to the bosses as seen in Fig. 4. The structure will limit an external movement as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,998

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura et al (US 5,758,796) in view of Marsh (US 3,662,780). Regarding claims 1-6, Nishimura et al teach the claimed structure but teach a threaded joint instead of a slip joint. Marsh teaches a similar container with an internal support for the wound layer where the end bosses slip together. It would have been obvious to employ the slip joint of Marsh in the container of Nishimura et al to provide a simpler joint or to provide a more rapid joining of the supports prior to winding or over wrapping.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cookson, Raymond, Gabarro et al, Pflederer, Ulm, Tonnessen, and Leonard are all cited for teaching tank supports.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph C. Merek

Patent Examiner

Ápril 30, 2004